

---

*Draft*

# **Draft Willamette Subbasin Plan**

Prepared for  
**The Northwest Power and Conservation Council**

May 28, 2004

Prepared by  
**Willamette Restoration Initiative**

**David Primozich, Project Coordinator**  
**Rick Bastasch, Executive Director**



# Contents

---

Chapter	Page
Acknowledgments .....	xxiii
Acronyms and Abbreviations .....	xxv
<b>1 Executive Summary .....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Need for Habitat .....	1-1
1.2 Focus on Conservation .....	1-2
1.3 Plan Objectives .....	1-2
1.4 Additional Information Needs .....	1-4
1.5 Looking Ahead .....	1-4
<b>2 Introduction .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Description of Planning Entity .....	2-1
2.2 List of Participants .....	2-1
2.3 Stakeholder Involvement Process .....	2-1
2.4 Overall Approach to the Planning Activity .....	2-4
2.5 Process and Schedule for Revising/Updating the Plan .....	2-6
<b>3 Subbasin Assessment .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 Subbasin Overview .....	3-1
3.1.1 General Description .....	3-1
3.1.1.1 Location .....	3-1
3.1.1.2 Size .....	3-2
3.1.1.3 Geology .....	3-3
3.1.1.4 Climate and Weather .....	3-7
3.1.1.5 Land Cover and Habitat .....	3-9
3.1.1.6 Population and Land Use .....	3-12
3.1.1.7 Economy .....	3-15
3.1.1.8 Land Ownership .....	3-16
3.1.1.9 Human Disturbances to the Aquatic and Terrestrial Environments .....	3-18
3.1.2 Existing Subbasin Water Resources .....	3-19
3.1.2.1 Watershed Hydrography .....	3-19
3.1.2.2 Hydrologic Regime .....	3-24
3.1.2.3 Groundwater .....	3-25
3.1.2.4 Water Quality .....	3-32
3.1.2.5 Riparian Resources .....	3-36
3.1.2.6 Wetland Resources .....	3-37
3.1.3 Hydrologic and Ecologic Trends in the Subbasin .....	3-38
3.1.3.1 Influence of Human Use on Hydrology in the Subbasin .....	3-38
3.1.3.2 Nonfederal Hydropower Facilities .....	3-41
3.1.3.3 Influence of Human Use on Ecology in the Subbasin .....	3-42

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Page</b>
3.1.4 Regional Context.....	3-47
3.1.4.1 Relation to the Columbia Basin.....	3-47
3.1.4.2 NOAA Fisheries Evolutionary Significant Units.....	3-47
3.1.4.3 USFWS-Designated Bull Trout Planning Units.....	3-48
3.1.4.4 USFWS-Designated Oregon Chub Planning Units.....	3-48
3.1.4.5 Priority Species and Habitats .....	3-48
3.1.4.6 Summary of External Environmental Impacts on Fish and Wildlife.....	3-48
3.2 Focal Species Characterization and Status .....	3-48
3.2.1 Native/Nonnative Wildlife, Plant, and Resident/Anadromous Fish of Ecological Importance.....	3-48
3.2.1.1 Special-Status Aquatic Species in the Willamette .....	3-49
3.2.1.2 Special-Status Terrestrial Species in the Willamette Basin .....	3-51
3.2.1.3 Other Species of Importance .....	3-59
3.2.1.4 Introduced Species .....	3-61
3.2.2 Scientific Foundation for Assessment of Willamette River Aquatic Habitats .....	3-65
3.2.2.1 Application of NPCC’s Scientific Principles to the Willamette River Subbasin Plan .....	3-65
3.2.2.2 Development of a Scientific Foundation for Portland Urban Streams .....	3-76
3.2.2.3 The Use of EDT for Assessment of Aquatic Habitats.....	3-77
3.2.2.4 Limitations of EDT Within the Willamette System.....	3-79
3.2.3 Focal Species Selection .....	3-80
3.2.3.1 Aquatic Focal Species Selection .....	3-80
3.2.3.2 Terrestrial Focal Species Selection .....	3-86
3.2.4 Aquatic Focal Species Population Delineation and Characterization .....	3-93
3.2.4.1 Chinook Life History, Population Status, and Trends.....	3-93
3.2.4.2 Winter Steelhead Life History, Population Status, and Trends....	3-115
3.2.4.3 Cutthroat Trout Life History, Population Status, and Trends .....	3-129
3.2.4.4 Bull Trout Life History, Population Status, and Trends.....	3-133
3.2.4.5 Oregon Chub Life History, Population Status, and Trends.....	3-137
3.2.4.6 Pacific Lamprey .....	3-142
3.2.4.7 Aquatic Introductions, Artificial Production, and Captive Breeding Programs .....	3-145
3.2.5 Terrestrial Focal Species Population Delineation and Characterization .....	3-152
3.2.5.1 Focal Habitat: Oak Woodlands .....	3-153
3.2.5.2 Focal Habitat: Upland Prairie, Savanna, and Rock Outcrops .....	3-165
3.2.5.3 Focal Habitat: Wetland Prairie and Seasonal Marsh.....	3-186
3.2.5.4 Focal Habitat: Perennial Ponds and Their Riparian Areas.....	3-199
3.2.5.5 Focal Habitat: Riparian Areas of Rivers and Streams.....	3-210
3.2.5.6 Focal Habitat: Old-Growth Conifer Forest .....	3-225

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Page</b>
3.2.6 Future Conditions with No New Actions .....	3-242
3.2.6.1 Plan Trend 2050 .....	3-242
3.2.6.2 Environmental Conditions in 2050.....	3-243
3.3 Out-of-Subbasin Effects .....	3-245
3.3.1 Aquatic .....	3-245
3.3.1.1 Estuary.....	3-245
3.3.1.2 Nearshore.....	3-246
3.3.1.3 Marine .....	3-246
3.3.1.4 Mainstem Habitat .....	3-248
3.3.1.5 Hydropower.....	3-248
3.3.1.6 Out-of-Subbasin Harvest.....	3-248
3.3.1.7 Hatcheries.....	3-248
3.3.2 Terrestrial .....	3-248
3.4 Environment/Population Relationships .....	3-249
3.4.1 Riparian Planting.....	3-254
3.4.2 Riparian Buffers .....	3-255
3.4.3 Fencing Streams .....	3-255
3.4.4 Stabilizing Streambanks .....	3-255
3.4.5 Reconnecting Isolated Sloughs, Side Channels, and Oxbows .....	3-255
3.4.6 Removing Barriers; Culvert Replacement .....	3-256
3.5 Identification and Analysis of Limiting Factors/Conditions .....	3-256
3.5.1 Historical Factors Leading to Decline of Aquatic Species and Ecological Functions and Processes.....	3-256
3.5.1.1 Limiting Factors in the Calapooia Subbasin .....	3-257
3.5.1.2 Limiting Factors in the Coast Fork Willamette Subbasin .....	3-270
3.5.1.3 Limiting Factors in the Long Tom Subbasin.....	3-283
3.5.1.4 Limiting Factors in the Luckiamute/Rickreall Subbasin.....	3-294
3.5.1.5 Limiting Factors in the Marys Subbasin .....	3-304
3.5.1.6 Limiting Factors in the Middle Fork Willamette Subbasin.....	3-314
3.5.1.7 Limiting Factors in the Molalla/Pudding Subbasin.....	3-331
3.5.1.8 Limiting Factors in the North Santiam Subbasin .....	3-344
3.5.1.9 Limiting Factors in Salem-Area Watersheds .....	3-362
3.5.1.10 Limiting Factors in the South Santiam Subbasin .....	3-370
3.5.1.11 Limiting Factors in the Tualatin Subbasin .....	3-389
3.5.1.12 Limiting Factors in the Upper Willamette River Mainstem.....	3-398
3.5.1.13 Yamhill Subbasin .....	3-411
3.5.1.14 Limiting Factors in the Clackamas Subbasin (with EDT Analysis).....	3-420
3.5.1.15 Limiting Factors in the Johnson Creek Subbasin (with EDT Analysis).....	3-469
3.5.1.16 Limiting Factors in the Lower Willamette River Mainstem (with EDT Analysis) .....	3-499

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Page</b>
3.5.1.17	Limiting Factors in the McKenzie Subbasin (with EDT Analysis)..... 3-508
3.5.1.18	Limiting Factors in the Tryon Creek Subbasin (with EDT Analysis)..... 3-529
3.5.2	Historical Factors Leading to Decline of Terrestrial Species and Ecological Functions and Processes..... 3-556
3.5.2.1	Status and Trends of Terrestrial Wildlife, Rare Plants, and Habitat in the Willamette Subbasin..... 3-556
3.5.2.2	Factors Known or Hypothesized To Be Limiting Within the Willamette Basin ..... 3-557
3.5.2.3	Limiting Factors Outside the Subbasin ..... 3-558
3.6	Synthesis and Interpretation ..... 3-568
3.6.1	Subbasin-Wide Working Hypotheses ..... 3-569
3.6.1.1	Aquatic Hypothesis ..... 3-569
3.6.1.2	Aquatic and Terrestrial Hypotheses That Overlap ..... 3-574
3.6.1.3	Terrestrial Hypotheses..... 3-583
3.6.2	Opportunities ..... 3-586
3.6.2.1	Habitat for High-Priority Protection..... 3-586
3.6.2.2	Habitat to Reestablish Access ..... 3-586
3.6.2.3	Habitat for Restoration ..... 3-586
<b>4</b>	<b>Inventory and Assessment of Conservation Efforts..... 4-1</b>
4.1	Background..... 4-1
4.2	WRI Approach to Evaluation of Conservation Efforts..... 4-2
4.3	Overview of Conservation Efforts Now Having Significant Impacts ..... 4-3
4.3.1	State and Federal Approaches ..... 4-3
4.3.2	Local Approaches..... 4-6
4.3.2.1	Examples of Geographically Concentrated Efforts..... 4-8
4.3.3	Projects ..... 4-14
4.3.3.1	Projects Funded by Bonneville Power Administration ..... 4-14
4.3.3.2	OWEB Project Assessment for Willamette Basin ..... 4-15
4.4	Conservation Efforts Expected to Have Significant Impacts in the Near Future ... 4-15
4.4.1	NOAA Fisheries Salmon Recovery Planning ..... 4-15
4.4.2	Willamette Basin Project Biological Opinion ..... 4-17
4.4.3	Total Maximum Daily Load Allocations ..... 4-17
4.4.4	ODFW Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy (Conservation Plan)..... 4-18
4.4.5	Northwest Oregon Invasive Weed Management Partnership ..... 4-18
4.5	Synthesis ..... 4-19
4.5.1	Conservation Efforts Strong Points ..... 4-19
4.5.2	Conservation Effort Improvements ..... 4-20
4.5.2.1	General Findings ..... 4-20

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Page</b>
4.5.2.2	Needs Relating to Limiting Factors..... 4-21
<b>5</b>	<b>Management Plan..... 5-1</b>
5.1	Vision..... 5-1
5.2	Key Findings: Basinwide Priorities ..... 5-1
5.2.1	Key Findings ..... 5-1
5.2.2	Basinwide Priorities ..... 5-2
5.2.2.1	Deal with the Dams ..... 5-2
5.2.2.2	Fix Culverts and Diversions to Allow Fish Passage ..... 5-2
5.2.2.3	Focus on Valley and Foothills Wildlife ..... 5-2
5.2.2.4	Restore Lowland Riparian Areas* ..... 5-2
5.2.2.5	Restore Low-Cost, High-Return Areas of the Willamette River Floodplain*..... 5-3
5.2.2.6	Let the River Cool Itself* ..... 5-3
5.2.2.7	Ensure That All of the Priority Themes Above Are Taken Up in an Organized Way at the Local Level ..... 5-3
5.3	Aquatic and Terrestrial Biological Objectives ..... 5-3
5.3.1	Aquatic Biological Objectives..... 5-3
5.3.1.1	Biological Performance Objectives..... 5-3
5.3.1.2	Aquatic Habitat Objectives ..... 5-7
5.3.2	Terrestrial Biological Objectives..... 5-8
5.3.2.1	Biological Performance Objectives..... 5-8
5.3.2.2	Habitat Objectives ..... 5-9
5.4	Aquatic and Terrestrial Strategies ..... 5-17
5.4.1	Principles and Approaches ..... 5-17
5.4.2	Aquatic Strategies..... 5-22
5.4.2.1	Restore Processes That Maintain Watershed Health..... 5-22
5.4.2.2	Connect Favorable Habitats ..... 5-23
5.4.3	Terrestrial Strategies..... 5-25
5.4.3.1	Restore Processes That Maintain Watershed Health..... 5-25
5.4.3.2	Connect Favorable Habitats ..... 5-26
5.5	Institutional Strategies ..... 5-27
5.6	Applying the Strategies Locally ..... 5-29
5.6.1.1	Use EDT or Other Modeling ..... 5-30
5.6.1.2	Terrestrial Utility Tool ..... 5-30
5.6.1.3	Fish-Floodplain Connectivity ..... 5-33
5.6.1.4	Riparian Areas ..... 5-34
5.6.1.5	Steps in Restoring Fish Passage ..... 5-35
5.7	Research, Monitoring, and Evaluation ..... 5-35
5.7.1	A Strategic Monitoring and Evaluation Framework for the Willamette Basin ..... 5-36
5.7.2	Framework for Implementation..... 5-38

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Page</b>
5.7.3 Key Aquatic and Terrestrial Monitoring and Research Issues.....	5-39
5.8 ESA-CWA Requirements.....	5-45
<b>6 References .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>

**Appendixes**

A	Interim Viability Criteria Overview of Threatened Willamette/Lower Columbia Pacific Salmonids
B	Draft Bull Trout Recovery Criteria
C	Oregon Chub Recovery Criteria
D	Protection, Restoration, and Management of Terrestrial Habitats and Species of the Willamette Subbasin: Technical Appendix
E	Fish Species Distribution Maps
F	Terrestrial Wildlife Species Range Maps
G	Fish Species Barrier Maps
H	Subbasin Overview Maps
I	Reach Break Maps
J	Draft EDT Assessment of Aquatic Habitat in the Lower Willamette Mainstem
K	Draft EDT Assessment of Aquatic Habitat in the McKenzie Subbasin
L	Inventory of State and Federal Fish and Wildlife Plans and Programs
M	Summary of Existing Conservation Efforts in the Willamette Basin
N	Municipal and County Efforts to Support Fish and Wildlife in the Willamette Basin
O	Inventory of Existing Programs and Activities in the Lower Willamette Subbasin
P	Draft EDT Assessment of Aquatic Habitat in the Clackamas Subbasin
Q	Indicators of Basin Condition for the Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds
R	Overlay Map of The Nature Conservancy Priority Conservation Areas and Pacific Northwest Ecosystem Research Consortium Conservation and Restoration Opportunity Areas

**Tables**

2-1: Participants in the Development of the <i>Willamette Subbasin Plan</i> .....	2-2
2-2: Stakeholder Groups Consulted Regarding Subbasin Planning .....	2-3
3-1: Oregon Counties with Acreage in the Willamette Basin.....	3-1
3-2: Descriptions of Ecoregions in the Willamette Basin.....	3-10
3-3: Habitat Types in the Willamette Basin.....	3-12
3-4: Ethnic Diversity in the Willamette Valley .....	3-14
3-5: Land Use in the Willamette Basin.....	3-15
3-6: Changes in Land Cover in the Willamette Basin .....	3-18
3-7: Willamette Basin Project Dams.....	3-21
3-8: General Characteristics of Hydrogeologic Units in the Willamette Basin.....	3-27

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Page</b>
3-9: Designated Groundwater Areas and Areas of Supply Concern in Willamette Valley Counties.....	3-29
3-10: Willamette TMDLs by Subbasin.....	3-33
3-11: Known and Regulated Water Quality Pollution Sources .....	3-36
3-12: Active FERC Hydroelectric Power Projects (identified by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife).....	3-41
3-13: Summary of Federal Columbia River Power System Impacts on Anadromous Fish.....	3-42
3-14: Estimated Spawning Habitat Quantities Above and Below Willamette Project Dams (for mainstems of streams shown, not tributaries) .....	3-43
3-15: Approximate Miles* of River Habitat Inundated by Willamette Project Reservoirs .....	3-44
3-16: Estimated Wildlife Losses Attributable to Willamette Basin Project Hydropower Construction* .....	3-45
3-17: Threatened and Endangered Fish Species of the Willamette Basin* .....	3-50
3-18: Willamette/Lower Columbia Domain Core and Genetic Legacy Chinook and Steelhead Populations and Required Recovery Populations for Bull Trout and Oregon Chub.....	3-51
3-19: Threatened and Endangered Plant Species* of the Willamette Basin.....	3-51
3-20: Threatened and Endangered Wildlife Species and Species of Concern in the Willamette Basin.....	3-52
3-21: Willamette Basin Species Significant to Tribes .....	3-60
3-22: Introduced Fishes .....	3-63
3-23: Focal Fish Species Selection and Assessment Tool by Planning Unit.....	3-82
3-24: Comparison of Focal Habitats in This Plan with Habitats Identified by Selected Previous Plans and Assessments That Address Wildlife in the Willamette Basin.....	3-89
3-25: Terrestrial Focal Species Selected for This Plan.....	3-90
3-26: Comparison of Focal Species with Species Identified as “Indicators” or “Focal Species” by Previous Wildlife Plans and Assessments in the Willamette Basin, Grouped by the Most Similar Focal Habitat Type.....	3-91
3-27: Hatchery Releases to the Calapooia, 1981-2002.....	3-96
3-28: Hatchery Releases in the Clackamas River, 1975-2002.....	3-98
3-29: Hatchery Releases in the McKenzie, 1902-2002 .....	3-100
3-30: Estimated Return of Spring Chinook Salmon to the McKenzie River and Leaburg Dam .....	3-101
3-31: Hatchery Releases in the Middle Fork Willamette, 1902-2002 .....	3-103
3-32: Hatchery Releases in the Molalla River, 1964-1999.....	3-104
3-33 Hatchery Releases in the North Santiam, 1991-2002.....	3-106
3-34: Hatchery Releases in the North Santiam, 1918-1994.....	3-107
3-35: Hatchery Releases 1991-2002 .....	3-108
3-36: Winter Steelhead Hatchery Releases in the Mollala, 1957–1997 .....	3-119
3-37: Winter Steelhead Hatchery Releases in the North Santiam, 1931–2002 .....	3-121

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Page</b>
3-38: Winter Steelhead Hatchery Releases in the South Santiam .....	3-123
3-39: Winter Steelhead Hatchery Releases in Westside Tributaries, 1958-1996 .....	3-126
3-40: Winter Steelhead Hatchery Releases in the McKenzie and Middle Fork .....	3-127
3-41: Aquatic/Riparian Conditions and Other Metrics Used to Develop a Cutthroat Trout Habitat Suitability Index for 2nd- to 4th-Order Streams in the Willamette Basin.....	3-132
3-42: Number of Bull Trout Redds Observed in Spawning Areas of the McKenzie River, 1989–2003.....	3-135
3-43: Willamette Subbasin Hatcheries.....	3-148
3-44: Annual Release Goals of Hatchery Fish by Location and Species from Artificial Propagation Programs in the Upper Willamette River ESUs .....	3-150
3-45: Acreage Estimates of Land Cover Types That Include Oak Woodland .....	3-154
3-46: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Acorn Woodpecker.....	3-157
3-47: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Chipping Sparrow.....	3-158
3-48: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Western Wood-Pewee .....	3-160
3-49: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for White-Breasted Nuthatch .....	3-161
3-50: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Sharptail Snake.....	3-162
3-51: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Southern Alligator Lizard.....	3-163
3-52: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Western Gray Squirrel .....	3-165
3-53: Acreage Estimates of Land Cover Types That Include Upland Prairie-Savanna .....	3-166
3-54: Remaining Upland Prairies of the Willamette Valley* .....	3-166
3-55: HUC6 Units with ORNHIC Database Records for White Rock Larkspur .....	3-170
3-56: HUC6 Units with ORNHIC Database Records for White-Topped (Curtus’s) Aster.....	3-171
3-57: HUC6 Units with ORNHIC Database Records for Kincaid’s Lupine .....	3-173
3-58: HUC6 Units with ORNHIC Database Records for Fender’s Blue Butterfly .....	3-174
3-59: HUC6 Units with ORNHIC Database Records for Taylor’s Checkerspot Butterfly .....	3-176
3-60: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for American Kestrel .....	3-177
3-61: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Horned Lark.....	3-178
3-62: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Vesper Sparrow .....	3-180
3-63: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Western Meadowlark.....	3-182
3-64: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Western Bluebird.....	3-183
3-65: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Black-Tailed Jackrabbit.....	3-185
3-66: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Western Rattlesnake .....	3-186
3-67: Acreage Estimates of Land Cover Types That Include Wetland Prairie and Seasonal Marsh .....	3-187
3-68: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Bradshaw’s Lomatium.....	3-189
3-69: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Nelson’s Checkermallow.....	3-191
3-70: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Willamette Valley Daisy .....	3-192
3-71: HUC6 Units with ORNHIC Database Records for Peacock Larkspur .....	3-192
3-72: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Red-Legged Frog.....	3-194

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Page</b>
3-73: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Common Yellowthroat .....	3-196
3-74: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Northern Harrier .....	3-198
3-75: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Sora.....	3-199
3-76: Acreage Estimates of Land Cover Types That Include Lentic Habitat.....	3-200
3-77: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Western Pond Turtle.....	3-203
3-78: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Cascades Frog.....	3-204
3-79: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Purple Martin.....	3-206
3-80: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Green Heron .....	3-207
3-81: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Wood Duck.....	3-208
3-82: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Yellow Warbler .....	3-209
3-83: Acreage Estimates of Land Cover Types That Include Stream Riparian Habitat.....	3-210
3-84: Changes in Acres of Channel Habitat of the Willamette River, Eugene to Portland.....	3-211
3-85: Area of the Willamette Valley Inundated by Major Floods Since 1860 .....	3-211
3-86: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for American Dipper .....	3-213
3-87: HUC6 Units with ORNHIC Database Records for Harlequin Duck .....	3-214
3-88: Mean and Maximum (Among-Year) Counts of Bald Eagles from USFWS Mid-Winter Survey Routes in the Willamette Basin, 1988-2000.....	3-216
3-89: HUC6 Units with ORNHIC Database Records for Bald Eagle .....	3-217
3-90: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Red-Eyed Vireo .....	3-219
3-91: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Willow Flycatcher .....	3-221
3-92: HUC6 Units with ORNHIC Database Records for Coastal Tailed Frog .....	3-222
3-93: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for American Beaver .....	3-224
3-94: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for River Otter.....	3-225
3-95: Watersheds with the Most Old-Growth Conifer Forest in the Early 1990s, Based on The EC90 Land Cover Layer .....	3-226
3-96: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Pileated Woodpecker.....	3-229
3-97: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Olive-Sided Flycatcher.....	3-232
3-98: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Vaux's Swift.....	3-233
3-99: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Great Gray Owl .....	3-236
3-100: HUC6 Units with ORNHIC Database Records for Oregon Slender Salamander .....	3-238
3-101: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for American (Pine) Marten .....	3-239
3-102: HUC6 Units with the Most Suitable Habitat for Red Tree Vole.....	3-241
3-103: Wildlife Species of the Willamette Basin Documented to Feed on (Or Are Otherwise Functionally Linked to) Live or Dead Salmonid Fish*.....	3-249
3-104: Calapooia Subbasin: Watershed Attributes Affecting Cutthroat Trout, Spring Chinook Salmon and Winter Steelhead Life Stages .....	3-259
3-105: Qualitative Rating of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Winter Steelhead, Spring Chinook, and Cutthroat Trout in the Lower Calapooia Subbasin.....	3-269

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Page</b>
3-106: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Cutthroat Trout, Winter Steelhead, and Spring Chinook in the Upper Calapooia River Subbasin.....	3-269
3-107: Coast Fork Willamette Subbasin: Subbasin Attributes Affecting Cutthroat Trout and Spring Chinook Salmon Life Stages .....	3-272
3-108: Coast Fork Willamette Subbasin: Subbasin Attributes Affecting Oregon Chub Life Stages .....	3-277
3-109: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Spring Chinook Salmon and Cutthroat Trout in the Lower Coast Fork Willamette River Subbasin .....	3-280
3-110: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Oregon Chub in the Lower Coast Fork Willamette River Subbasin .....	3-281
3-111: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Cutthroat Trout and Spring Chinook Salmon in the Upper Coast Fork Willamette River Subbasin .....	3-282
3-112: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Oregon Chub in the Upper Coast Fork Willamette River Subbasin.....	3-283
3-113: Long Tom Subbasin: Subbasin Attributes Affecting Cutthroat Trout And Juvenile Spring Chinook Salmon Life Stages .....	3-286
3-114: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Cutthroat Trout and Juvenile Spring Chinook in the Lower Long Tom Subbasin.....	3-292
3-115: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Cutthroat Trout in the Upper Long Tom River Subbasin.....	3-293
3-116: Percent Gradient for Major Segments of the Lower and Upper Luckiamute River and Rickreall Creek.....	3-296
3-117: Luckiamute/Rickreall subbasin: Subbasin Attributes Affecting Cutthroat Trout and Juvenile Spring Chinook Salmon Life Stages.....	3-297
3-118: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Cutthroat Trout and Juvenile Spring Chinook in the Lower Luckiamute/Rickreall Subbasin .....	3-302
3-119: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Cutthroat Trout in the Upper Luckiamute/Rickreall Subbasin.....	3-303
3-120: Marys Subbasin: Subbasin Attributes Affecting Cutthroat Trout and Juvenile Spring Chinook Salmon Life Stages.....	3-306
3-121: Marys Subbasin: Subbasin Attributes Affecting Oregon Chub Life Stages .....	3-308
3-122: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Cutthroat Trout and Juvenile Spring Chinook in the Lower Marys Subbasin.....	3-311
3-123: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Oregon Chub in the Lower Marys Subbasin .....	3-312
3-124: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Cutthroat Trout in the Upper Marys Subbasin.....	3-314

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Page</b>
3-125: Middle Fork Willamette Subbasin: Subbasin Attributes Affecting Cutthroat Trout, Spring Chinook Salmon, Bull Trout, and Oregon Chub Life Stages.....	3-317
3-126: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Spring Chinook Salmon and Cutthroat Trout in the Lower Middle Fork Willamette River Subbasin .....	3-328
3-127: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Oregon Chub in the Lower Middle Fork Willamette River Subbasin .....	3-329
3-128: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Cutthroat Trout, Spring Chinook Salmon, and Bull Trout in the Upper Middle Fork Willamette River Subbasin .....	3-331
3-129: Molalla/Pudding Subbasin: Subbasin Attributes Affecting Cutthroat Trout, Spring Chinook Salmon, and Winter Steelhead Life Stages .....	3-334
3-130: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes for Winter Steelhead, Spring Chinook, and Cutthroat Trout in the Lower Molalla/Pudding Subbasin.....	3-341
3-131: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Cutthroat Trout, Winter Steelhead, and Spring Chinook in the Upper Molalla/Pudding Subbasin .....	3-343
3-132: North Santiam Subbasin: Subbasin Attributes Affecting Cutthroat Trout, Spring Chinook Salmon, and Winter Steelhead Life Stages .....	3-347
3-133: North Santiam Subbasin: Subbasin Attributes Affecting Oregon Chub .....	3-357
3-134: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Winter Steelhead, Spring Chinook Salmon, and Cutthroat Trout in the Lower North Santiam River .....	3-359
3-135: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Oregon Chub in the Lower North Santiam River Subbasin .....	3-360
3-136: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Cutthroat, Spring Chinook, and Winter Steelhead in the Upper North Santiam River Subbasin .....	3-361
3-137: Salem Area Watersheds: Watershed Attributes Affecting Cutthroat Trout, Spring Chinook Salmon, and Winter Steelhead Life Stages .....	3-365
3-138: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Cutthroat Trout, Winter Steelhead, and Spring Chinook in the Salem-Area Watersheds: Mill, Claggett, Pringle, and Glenn-Gibson Creeks .....	3-369
3-139: South Santiam Subbasin: Subbasin Attributes Affecting Cutthroat Trout, Spring Chinook Salmon, and Winter Steelhead Life Stages .....	3-373
3-140: South Santiam Subbasin: Subbasin Attributes Affecting Oregon Chub .....	3-383
3-141: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Winter Steelhead, Spring Chinook Salmon, and Cutthroat Trout in the Lower South Santiam River Subbasin .....	3-386
3-142: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Oregon Chub in the Lower South Santiam River Subbasin .....	3-387

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Page</b>
3-143: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Winter Steelhead, Spring Chinook Salmon, and Cutthroat Trout Life Stages in the Upper South Santiam River Subbasin .....	3-388
3-144: Tualatin Subbasin: Subbasin Attributes Affecting Cutthroat Trout and Juvenile Spring Chinook Life Stages.....	3-392
3-145: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Cutthroat Trout and Juvenile Spring Chinook in the lower Tualatin Subbasin.....	3-395
3-146: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Cutthroat Trout in the Upper Tualatin Subbasin.....	3-397
3-147: Percent Changes* in Channel Habitat Features from 1850 to 1995 for the Willamette River from Portland to Eugene. ....	3-400
3-148: Percent Changes in Length for Channel Habitat Features from 1850 to 1995 for the Willamette River from Portland to Eugene .....	3-401
3-149: Percent Composition of Land Use/Land Cover along the Willamette River* from Portland to Eugene, 1850 to 1990 .....	3-402
3-150: Maximum Discharges Recorded at the Albany Gage for Four Major Willamette River Floods .....	3-403
3-151: Willamette River Basin Planning Atlas Reaches (Lower, Middle, and Upper) and the Corresponding EDT Assessment Reaches and Geographic Areas .....	3-404
3-152: Percent Changes* in Channel Habitat Features from 1850 to 1995 for the Middle Reach of the Willamette River from Newberg to Albany.....	3-404
3-153: Percent Changes* in Length for Channel Habitat Features from 1850 to 1995 for the Middle Reach of the Willamette River from Newberg to Albany .....	3-405
3-154: Percent Composition of Land Use/Land Cover along the Middle Reach of the Willamette River (within 393 feet of both sides of the channel) from Newberg to Albany, 1850 to 1990.....	3-406
3-155: Percent Changes* in Channel Habitat Features from 1850 to 1995 for the Upper Reach of the Willamette River from Albany to Eugene. ....	3-407
3-156: Percent Changes* in Length for Channel Habitat Features from 1850 to 1995 for the Upper Reach of the Willamette River from Albany to Eugene. ....	3-407
3-157: Percent Composition of Land Use/Land Cover along the Upper Reach of the Willamette River (within 393 feet of both sides of the channel) from Albany to Eugene, 1850 to 1990 .....	3-408
3-158: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Winter Steelhead, Spring Chinook Salmon, Cutthroat Trout, and Oregon Chub Life Stages in the Lower Middle Reach of the Willamette River.....	3-409
3-159: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors For Winter Steelhead, Spring Chinook Salmon, Cutthroat Trout, And Oregon Chub in the Upper Reach of the Willamette River .....	3-410
3-160: Percent Gradient for Major Segments of the North and South Yamhill River .....	3-413
3-161: Yamhill Subbasin: Subbasin Attributes Affecting Cutthroat Trout and Juvenile Chinook .....	3-415

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Page</b>
3-162: Qualitative Rating of EDT Attributes for Cutthroat Trout and Juvenile Spring Chinook in the Lower Yamhill Subbasin .....	3-418
3-163: Qualitative Rating of EDT Attributes for Cutthroat Trout Life Stages in the Upper Yamhill Subbasin.....	3-420
3-164: Focal Species and EDT Populations in the Clackamas River .....	3-421
3-165: Overall Protection and Restoration Ranks for Each of 15 Geographic Areas in the Clackamas River Across Three Species and Six Populations .....	3-424
3-166: Geographic Structure of EDT Assessment of the Clackamas River .....	3-428
3-167: Summary of Key Problems in Johnson Creek.....	3-472
3-168: Number of Stream Reaches in the Johnson Creek Subbasin.....	3-474
3-169: Estimated Protection Value of Each Geographic Area for Johnson Creek Coho .....	3-477
3-170: Estimated Restoration Value of Each Geographic Area for Johnson Creek Coho .....	3-478
3-171: Estimated Protection Value of Each Geographic Area for Johnson Creek Steelhead.....	3-479
3-172: Estimated Restoration Value Each of the Geographic Area for Johnson Creek Steelhead.....	3-481
3-173: 303(d)-Listed Water Quality Parameters for the Lower Willamette River.....	3-507
3-174: McKenzie Subbasin: Subbasin Attributes Affecting Cutthroat Trout Life Stages .....	3-512
3-175: Qualitative Ratings of EDT attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Cutthroat Trout in the Lower McKenzie River Subbasin.....	3-513
3-176: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Cutthroat Trout in the McKenzie River Subbasin .....	3-514
3-177: McKenzie Subbasin: Subbasin Attributes Affecting Oregon Chub Life Stages.....	3-516
3-178: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Oregon in the Lower McKenzie .....	3-518
3-179: McKenzie Subbasin: Subbasin Attributes Affecting Bull Trout Life Stages.....	3-519
3-180: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Bull Trout in the Lower McKenzie.....	3-521
3-181: Qualitative Ratings of EDT Attributes Related to Limiting Factors for Bull Trout in the Lower McKenzie.....	3-522
3-182: McKenzie Subbasin EDT Geographic Areas .....	3-523
3-183: Capacity, Productivity, Abundance, and Diversity of McKenzie River Spring Chinook Salmon, Estimated as a Function of Habitat .....	3-524
3-184: Estimated Changes in Population Parameters for McKenzie River Spring Chinook, by Geographic Area .....	3-526
3-185: Summary of Key Problems in Tryon Creek .....	3-533
3-186: Estimated Restoration Value of Each of Geographic Area for Tryon Creek Coho.....	3-539
3-187: Estimated Restoration Value Each of Geographic Area for Tryon Creek Steelhead.....	3-541
3-188: Metals Concentrations in Tryon Creek in 1999-20000 .....	3-551

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Page</b>
3-189: Hypothesized Importance of the Limiting Factors to Focal Species in Oak Woodland.....	3-558
3-190: Hypothesized or Documented Importance of the Limiting Factors to Focal Species in Upland Prairie.....	3-558
3-191: Hypothesized or Documented Importance and Prevalence of the Limiting Factors to Focal Species in Wetland Prairie and Seasonal Marsh.....	3-559
3-192: Hypothesized or Documented Importance and Prevalence of the Limiting Factors to Focal Species in Pond and Pond Riparian Habitat.....	3-560
3-193: Hypothesized or Documented Importance and Prevalence of the Limiting Factors to Focal Species in Stream and Stream Riparian Habitat.....	3-561
3-194: Hypothesized or Documented Importance and Prevalence of the Limiting Factors to Focal Species in Old-Growth Conifer Forest.....	3-561
3-195: Management Unit Attributes.....	3-572
3-196: Flow Regimes for Major Tributaries in the Willamette Basin.....	3-577
3-197: Summary: Percent of ERC-identified Conservation and Restoration Opportunity Areas Included within TNC-identified Priority Conservation Areas.....	3-589
4-1: Watershed Groups in the Willamette Basin.....	4-8
4-2: Examples of Geographically Concentrated Conservation Efforts.....	4-9
4-3: Recent BPA-Funded Projects in the Willamette Basin.....	4-14
4-4: Institutional Limiting Factors in the Willamette Subbasin as Identified in the <i>Willamette Restoration Strategy</i> .....	4-24
5-1: Biological and Habitat Objectives for Willamette Subbasin Terrestrial Focal Species* ...	5-10
5-2: Examples of Objectives for Focal Habitat Types (or Their Approximate Equivalents) Identified in Other Assessments.....	5-17
5-3: Strategies.....	5-18
5-4: Example of Steps for Evaluating Floodplain Restoration Opportunities.....	5-34
5-5: Selected Willamette Basin Monitoring and Research Projects And Programs.....	5-35
5-6: Sample Strategic Framework for Monitoring and Evaluation Within the Willamette Basin.....	5-40
5-7: Implementation Issues Related to the Key Willamette Basin Monitoring Strategies.....	5-41
5-8: Selected Monitoring and Research Issues for the Willamette Basin’s Lowland Aquatic Systems.....	5-44

**Figures**

1-1: Recommended Priority Conservation Themes for the Willamette Subbasin.....	1-3
3-1: The Willamette and Other Basins Within the State of Oregon.....	3-2
3-2: The Willamette Basin.....	3-3
3-3: Generalized Geology and Geographic Features in the Willamette Basin.....	3-6
3-4: Generalized Geologic Section East-West Across the Central Willamette Valley.....	3-7

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Page</b>
3-5: Precipitation in the Willamette Basin.....	3-8
3-6: Land Cover in the Willamette Basin .....	3-9
3-7: Locations of Ecoregions in the Willamette Basin .....	3-11
3-8: Native American Languages and Tribes, 1840-1850.....	3-13
3-9: Proportion of Land Ownership in the Willamette Basin.....	3-16
3-10: Land Ownership in the Willamette Subbasin.....	3-17
3-11: Comparison of Average Monthly Flows of an Eastside (McKenzie River) and Westside (Marys River) Tributary .....	3-21
3-12: USGS Hydrologic Unit Coding of the Mohawk River .....	3-22
3-13: 5 <sup>th</sup> -Field Hydrologic Units in the Willamette Basin.....	3-23
3-14: Watershed Council Boundaries .....	3-24
3-15: Generalized Hydrogeology of the Willamette Basin and Areas of Groundwater Supply Concern.....	3-26
3-16: Nitrate Levels in Wells.....	3-31
3-17: Permitted Discharges in the Willamette Basin.....	3-35
3-18: Northwest Power and Conservation Council Ecoprovinces.....	3-47
3-19: Willamette River Fish Species .....	3-62
3-20: Expansion of the Biological Objectives Model within EDT.....	3-78
3-21: Analytical Framework for Subbasin Assessment in the Willamette .....	3-79
3-22: Time Series of Abundance for Upper Willamette Spring Chinook in the Clackamas River .....	3-97
3-23: Time Series of Abundance of Spring Chinook at Leaburg Dam in the Mackenzie River.....	3-99
3-24: Time Series of Abundance for Upper Willamette Spring Chinook North Santiam Redds per Mile.....	3-106
3-25: Time Series of Abundance for Upper Willamette Winter Steelhead in the Calapooia ..	3-117
3-26: Redd Surveys of Winter Steelhead in the Molalla River .....	3-118
3-27: Redd Surveys of Winter Steelhead in the North Santiam .....	3-120
3-28: Time Series of Abundance for Upper Willamette Winter Steelhead in the North Santiam, Minto Trap .....	3-120
3-29: Redd Surveys of Winter Steelhead in the South Santiam Below Foster Dam .....	3-122
3-30: Time Series of Abundance for Upper Willamette Winter Steelhead South Santiam, Foster Dam.....	3-122
3-31: Willamette Subbasin Hatcheries.....	3-149
3-32: Change Likely from Plan Trend 2050 Scenario .....	3-244
3-33: Change in Terrestrial Species Populations under Alternative Future Scenarios.....	3-245
3-34: Daily Average Flow in the Willamette River at Salem, Oregon.....	3-399
3-35: Flow in the Clackamas River in the Upper Watershed (Big Bottom) and Lower Watershed (Estacada).....	3-423
3-36: Protection and Restoration Priorities for Each Geographic Area in the Clackamas River in Regard to Abundance of All Six Focal Populations .....	3-424
3-37: Generalized Early Returning (Type S) Coho Life History.....	3-429

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Page</b>
3-38: Count of Adult Early Returning Coho at North Fork Dam .....	3-430
3-39: EDT Estimates of Habitat Potential in the Lower Clackamas River for Coho Salmon .....	3-431
3-40: Lower Clackamas River Coho Habitat Priorities .....	3-432
3-41: Lower Clackamas River Coho Habitat Attribute Priorities.....	3-433
3-42: Generalized Life History of Winter Steelhead in the Clackamas River.....	3-434
3-43: Abundance of Winter Steelhead at North Fork Dam .....	3-435
3-44: EDT Estimates of Habitat Potential in the Lower Clackamas River for Winter Steelhead.....	3-436
3-45: Lower Clackamas River Winter Steelhead Habitat Priorities .....	3-437
3-46: Lower Clackamas River Steelhead Habitat Attribute Priorities.....	3-438
3-47: Generalized Life History of Clackamas River Tule Fall Chinook .....	3-439
3-48: Estimated Abundance of Clackamas River Fall Chinook Below River Mill Dam .....	3-439
3-49: EDT Estimates of Habitat Potential in the Lower Clackamas River For Fall Chinook.....	3-440
3-50: Clackamas River Fall Chinook Habitat Priorities .....	3-441
3-51: Clackamas River Fall Chinook Habitat Attribute Effects .....	3-441
3-52: EDT Estimates of Habitat Potential in the Upper Clackamas River for Coho Salmon .....	3-443
3-53: Upper Clackamas River Coho Habitat Priorities.....	3-444
3-54: Upper Clackamas River Coho Habitat Attribute Effects .....	3-445
3-55: EDT Estimates of Habitat Potential in the Upper Clackamas River for Winter Steelhead.....	3-447
3-56: Upper Clackamas River Winter Steelhead Habitat Priorities.....	3-448
3-57: Upper Clackamas River Steelhead Habitat Attribute Effects.....	3-449
3-58: Generalized Spring Chinook Life History in the Clackamas River .....	3-450
3-59: Estimated Return of Spring Chinook to the Clackamas River .....	3-450
3-60: EDT Estimates of Habitat Potential in the Clackamas River for Spring Chinook .....	3-451
3-61: Clackamas River Spring Chinook Habitat Priorities.....	3-452
3-62: Clackamas River Spring Chinook Attribute Effects .....	3-453
3-63: Protection and Restoration Rankings for the Portland (Lower Willamette) Area and Restoration Effects of Survival Attributes on Six Populations from the Clackamas River .....	3-455
3-64: Protection and Restoration Rankings for the Lower Clackamas Area and Restoration Effects of Survival Attributes on Six Populations from the Clackamas River .....	3-456
3-65: Protection and Restoration Rankings for the Deep Creek Area and Restoration Effects of Survival Attributes on Six Populations from the Clackamas River .....	3-457
3-66: Protection and Restoration Rankings for the Clear Creek Area and Restoration Effects of Survival Attributes on Six Populations from the Clackamas River .....	3-458
3-67: Protection and Restoration Rankings for the Eagle Creek Area and Restoration Effects of Survival Attributes on Six Populations from the Clackamas River .....	3-459

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Page</b>
3-68: Protection and Restoration Rankings for the North Fork Eagle Creek Area and Restoration Effects of Survival Attributes on Six Populations from the Clackamas River .....	3-460
3-69: Protection and Restoration Rankings for the Lower Clackamas Tributaries Area and Restoration Effects of Survival Attributes on Six Populations from the Clackamas River .....	3-461
3-70: Protection and Restoration Rankings for the Middle Clackamas Area and Restoration Effects of Survival Attributes on Six Populations from the Clackamas River.....	3-462
3-71: Protection and Restoration Rankings for the Fish Creek Area and Restoration Effects of Survival Attributes on Six Populations from the Clackamas River .....	3-463
3-72: Protection and Restoration Rankings for Roaring River Area and Restoration Effects of Survival Attributes on Six Populations from the Clackamas River .....	3-464
3-73: Protection and Restoration Rankings for the Middle Clackamas Tributaries Area and Restoration Effects of Survival Attributes on Six Populations from the Clackamas River .....	3-465
3-74: Protection and Restoration Rankings for the Upper Clackamas Area and Restoration Effects of Survival Attributes on Six Populations from the Clackamas River .....	3-466
3-75: Protection and Restoration Rankings for the Collowash River Area and Restoration Effects of Survival Attributes on Six Populations from the Clackamas River .....	3-467
3-76: Protection and Restoration Rankings for the Hot Springs Fork Area and Restoration Effects of Survival Attributes on Six Populations from the Clackamas River .....	3-468
3-77: Protection and Restoration Rankings for the Upper Clackamas Tributaries Area and Restoration Effects of Survival Attributes on Six Populations from the Clackamas River.....	3-469
3-78: Estimated Coho Abundance Potential as a Function of Habitat in the Current and Reference Conditions for the Johnson Creek Coho Population.....	3-476
3-79: Estimated Steelhead Abundance Potential as a Function of Habitat in the Current and Reference Conditions for the Johnson Creek Steelhead Population.....	3-476
3-80: Johnson Creek Coho Habitat Priorities .....	3-477
3-81: Johnson Creek Steelhead Habitat Priorities .....	3-479
3-82: Volume of Wood per 100 Meters of Channel Length.....	3-482
3-83: Amount and Quality of Pool Habitat Throughout Johnson Creek .....	3-483
3-84: Percentage of Each Subwatershed That Is Off-Channel Habitat (Right) and Total Amount of Off-Channel Habitat That Each Subwatershed Provides (Left).....	3-484
3-85: Percentage of Riffle Area in Johnson Creek Where Gravel Is Below 35 Percent .....	3-484
3-86: Percentage of Riffle Area in Johnson Creek Where Fines Exceed 12 Percent .....	3-485
3-87: Percentage of the Average Daily Flow at the Milport, Sycamore, and Regnor Gauges That Do Not Meet ODFW Flow Targets .....	3-486
3-88: Temperatures along the Johnson Creek mainstem.....	3-487
3-89: Culverts and Their Ratings for Passability in Johnson Creek .....	3-487
3-90: DDT Concentrations in Johnson Creek.....	3-488

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Page</b>
3-91: Combined Restoration and Protection Potential for Coho and Steelhead in the Lower Willamette .....	3-498
3-92: Median Flow over the Period of Record (1975-present) at the USGS Portland Gage (Blue Line).....	3-501
3-93: Changes in Median Daily Flow Before and After Dam Construction .....	3-501
3-94: Changes in Maximum Flows Before and After Dam construction. ....	3-502
3-95: Changes in the Amount of Mainstem, Secondary and Off-Channel Habitat in the Lower Willamette River through Portland, 1888 – 2001 .....	3-505
3-96: Changes in the Proportion and Total Amount of Shallow and Deep Water Habitat in the Lower Willamette River through Portland .....	3-506
3-97: Changes in Bank Types Along the North Segment of the Lower Willamette River .....	3-506
3-99: Relative Importance of Geographic Areas for Protection and Restoration Measures for McKenzie River Spring Chinook.....	3-527
3-100: Aquatic and Riparian Protection and Restoration Priorities by EDT Attribute Class for McKenzie River Spring Chinook .....	3-529
3-101: Estimated Fish Abundance Potential as a Function of Habitat in the Current and Reference Conditions for Tryon Creek Subbasin Winter Steelhead Population .....	3-536
3-102: Estimated Fish Productivity Potential as a Function Of Habitat in the Current and Reference Conditions for Tryon Creek Subbasin Winter Steelhead Population .....	3-537
3-103. Estimated Species Diversity Potential as a Function of Habitat in the Current and Reference Conditions for Tryon Creek Subbasin Winter Steelhead Population .....	3-537
3-104: Estimated Fish Abundance Potential as a Function of Habitat in the Current and Reference Conditions for Tryon Creek Subbasin Coho Population .....	3-538
3-105: Estimated Fish Productivity Potential as a Function of Habitat in the Current and Reference Conditions for Tryon Creek Subbasin Coho Population .....	3-538
3-106: Estimated Species Diversity Potential as a Function of Habitat in the Current and Reference Conditions for Tryon Creek Subbasin Coho Population .....	3-539
3-107: Relative Importance of the EDT Analysis Geographic Areas for Protection and Restoration Measures for the Tryon Creek Coho Salmon .....	3-540
3-108: Relative Importance of the EDT Analysis Geographic Areas for Protection and Restoration Measures for the Tryon Creek Steelhead .....	3-541
3-109: EDT analysis of aquatic and riparian protection and restoration priorities by attribute class for the Tryon Creek coho salmon. ....	3-542
3-110: EDT Analysis of Aquatic and Riparian Protection and Restoration Priorities by Attribute Class for the Tryon Creek Steelhead Population.....	3-542
3-111: Culverts and Their Passability in Tryon Creek .....	3-544
3-112: Volume of Wood per 100 Meters of Channel Length.....	3-545
3-113: Amount and Quality of Pool Habitat Throughout Tryon Creek.....	3-546
3-114: Amount of Off-Channel Habitat Through Tryon Creek.....	3-547
3-115: Percent of Gravels in Tryon Creek Riffles .....	3-548
3-116: Percentage of Riffle Area in Johnson Creek Where Fines Exceed 12 Percent .....	3-549
3-117: Seven-Day Average Daily Maximum Temperatures in Tryon Creek 1998-2000 .....	3-550

---

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Page</b>
3-118: Combined Restoration and Protection Potential for Coho and Steelhead in the Lower Willamette .....	3-556
3-119: Spring Chinook Salmon Habitat Blocked By Major Willamette Dams.....	3-577
4-2: Funding for Restoration Activities in the Willamette Basin, 2000 and 2001 .....	4-17
5-1: Fish Abundance Relative to Targets Articulated by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife .....	5-5
5-2: Fish Abundance Relative to Targets Articulated by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife .....	5-6
5-3: Conservation Guidelines .....	5-21
5-4: Institutional Limiting Factors Identified as Having Highest Constructive Potential .....	5-28



# Acknowledgments

---

The 11 months during which the *Willamette Subbasin Plan* was developed were packed with intense work by a remarkable set of partners. One of the defining characteristics of the Willamette Basin would seem to be the passion and professionalism of the people who work to improve it. The Willamette Restoration Initiative has the privilege of working with such people every day. In addition to our sincere appreciation of the guidance and help of our Planning Oversight Group and Technical Advisory Groups, we owe special thanks to the exceptional people who demonstrated extraordinary passion and endurance in helping write and assemble the contents of this plan:

- Paul Adamus, Adamus Resource Assessment, Inc.
- John Runyon, Biosystems, Inc.
- Chip McConnaha, Mobrand Biometrics, Inc.
- Chris Prescott, City of Portland
- Cindy Studebaker, City of Portland
- Greg Sieglitz, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Karen Streeter and Clackamas Water Environment Services
- Michael Carlson and the Clackamas River Basin Council
- Greg Taylor, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- Jennifer Lord, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- Chuck Willis, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- Ann Sihler, CH2M HILL

We also want to express our appreciation to those who labored to make certain these people had the resources they needed:

- Jim Middaugh, City of Portland
- Matt Rea, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- Doug Young and Gustavo Bisbal, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- Sue Knapp, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Jimmy Kagan, Oregon Natural Heritage Initiative
- Cathy MacDonald, The Nature Conservancy
- Megan Finnessy and the McKenzie Watershed Council
- Jon Bowers, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Patty Dornbusch, NOAA Fisheries
- Paul McElhany, NOAA Fisheries
- Tom Stahl, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Steve Mamoyac, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Jeff Ziller, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Todd Alsbury, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Darlene Siegel, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Holly Michael, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Bob Altman, American Bird Conservancy
- Denise Kelsey and Jennifer Lloyd CRITFC

- Doug Creamer and Tim Shibahara, Portland General Electric
- Dave Roberts, Bureau of Land Management
- Bob Bergamini, U.S. Forest Service
- Tom Horning, U.S. Forest Service
- Neil Bjorklund, City of Eugene
- Mark Brown, Willamette River Navigator
- Travis Williams, Willamette River Keeper
- Steve Smith, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- Betsy Torell, Mobrand Biometrics

David Primozich and Rick Bastasch, Willamette Restoration Initiative  
May 28, 2004

# Acronyms and Abbreviations

---

BBS	Breeding Bird Survey
BFS	Basin fill sediment unit
BLM	U.S. Bureau of Land Management
BMP	best management practice
cfs	cubic feet per second
CRB	Columbia River Basalt unit
DEQ	Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
EDT	Ecosystem Diagnosis and Treatment
ESA	Endangered Specie Act
ESU	evolutionarily significant unit
EWEB	Eugene Water and Electric Board
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FR	Federal Register
FR	Federal Register
GWMA	Groundwater Management Area
HGMP	Hatchery and Genetic Management Plan
HIS	
IBIS	Interactive Biodiversity Information System
ISG	Independent Scientific Group
LUST	leaking underground storage tank
LYU	Low-yield bedrock unit
mg/L	milligrams per liter
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NHI	Northwest Habitat Institute
NPCC	Northwest Power and Conservation Council

ODFW	Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
OFC	Oregon Fish Commission
OSHD	Oregon State Health Department
OWEB	Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board
OWRD	Oregon Water Resources Department
PAHs	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
PCA	Priority Conservation Area
PCBs	polychlorinated biphenyls
PFC	properly functioning conditions
PGE	Portland General Electric
PNWERC	Pacific Northwest Ecosystem Research Consortium
QHA	Qualitative Habitat Assessment
RKm	river kilometer
RM	river mile
RM&E	research, monitoring, and evaluation
SRE	Stream Reach Editor
SWCD	soil and water conservation district
TAG	Technical Advisory Group
TMDL	total maximum daily load
TNC	The Nature Conservancy
TRT	Technical Recovery Team
UGB	urban growth boundary
UIC	underground injection control
USFS	U.S. Forest Service
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
VOC	volatile organic constituent
WPA	Works Progress Administration
WRI	Willamette Restoration Initiative